



PRACTICE STANDARDS FOR THE MEDICAL DOSIMETRIST

Approved – May 3, 2023

I. THE PROFESSION OF MEDICAL DOSIMETRY

The process of care in radiation oncology involves close collaboration between a team of qualified individuals. The radiation oncology team ensures every patient undergoing radiation treatment receives the appropriate level of medical, emotional, and psychological care before, during, and after treatment, through a collaborative multidisciplinary approach. The primary radiation oncology team consists of, but is not limited to, radiation oncologists, oncology-focused advanced practice providers, medical physicists, medical dosimetrists, oncology nurses, and radiation therapists [1].

Medical dosimetrists are unique in health care. Medical dosimetrists receive basic education in a technological and scientific discipline, with practical training grounded in the clinical applications of dosimetric principles. Medical dosimetrists work in diverse health care organizations providing therapeutic clinical services to oncology patients. Medical dosimetrists in radiation oncology, in collaboration with the radiation oncologist, develop, optimize, and monitor patient treatment plans and provide oversight to high level treatment procedures [2].

The medical dosimetrist is now a recognized occupation by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics and is listed in the 2018 Standards Occupational Classification Manual. The Standards Occupational Classification Manual describes the occupation of medical dosimetrists as *“generate radiation treatment plans, develop radiation dose calculations, communicate and supervise the treatment plan implementation, and consult with members of the radiation oncology team”* [3].

Medical dosimetry is not a radiologic or imaging science and, therefore, does not fall under the umbrella of organizations or associations that represent these occupations or professions. The American Association of Medical Dosimetrists (AAMD) is the primary resource, worldwide, for the profession of medical dosimetry. As such, the AAMD has ownership of medical dosimetry professional documents [4,5]. A medical dosimetrist may or may not be required to have a background in radiation therapy.

II. PREAMBLE

These Practice Standards are designed to assist Qualified Medical Dosimetrists (QMDs) in defining their roles in the technical services that they provide in radiation oncology. This document stresses that it is essential that the QMD be an active participant in the collaborative team approach to patient care and that effective communication with the radiation oncology team is essential for providing quality patient care [6].

The QMD is an individual who is competent to practice in collaboration with the Radiation Oncologist (RO) and a Qualified Medical Physicist (QMP). The QMD uses critical thinking and problem-solving skills as well as exercises judgment in the performance of medical dosimetry procedures [7].

An individual shall be considered eligible to practice if they are certified by the Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board (MDCB) [7].

Board eligible medical dosimetrists, including recent graduates of Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology (JRCERT) accredited medical dosimetry educational programs, should practice under the guidance of a QMD and a QMP until successful completion of the medical dosimetry certification exam [6].

The American Association of Medical Dosimetrists (AAMD) recommends all personnel practicing in Medical Dosimetry attain certification provided by the MDCB. Accordingly, CMD (Certified Medical Dosimetrist) is recognized as the appropriate credential for the Medical Dosimetrist [2]. The certification of medical dosimetrists by the MDCB is also recommended in the ACR-ASTRO Practice Parameter for Radiation Oncology [8].

In addition to the above qualifications, a QMD shall meet and uphold the AAMD Code of Ethics and the Ethical Standards of the Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board [7,9,10].

III. INTRODUCTION

The Scope of Practice is designed to educate professionals in the fields of health care, education, other communities of interest, and the public regarding the qualifications and abilities of the Qualified Medical Dosimetrist. This document can be used by individual facilities to develop job descriptions and practice responsibilities [6].

The first Statement on the Scope and Standards of Medical Dosimetry Practice was approved in 2001. In 2012, The Scope of Practice of a Medical Dosimetrist was revised; and in 2013, The Practice Standards for the Medical Dosimetrist were developed. All these documents have been developed by AAMD Task Groups whose members were selected from the AAMD, American Association of Physicists in Medicine (AAPM), American Society for Radiation Oncology (ASTRO), and the MDCB [6].

These Practice Standards for the Medical Dosimetrist shall be considered the baseline for quality medical dosimetry practice. The goal was to make these standards applicable to the medical dosimetrist in any setting. As a “Living” document, these Practice Standards will be reviewed and modified as needed. These Practice Standards are not intended to supplant any federal/state/local regulations or laws, though currently there are no laws explicitly governing the practice of medical dosimetry [6].

Professionals who employ this document must be cognizant of state and federal laws affecting their practice, as well as institutional policies and guidelines. The intent is not to supersede these laws or affect the interpretation or implementation of such laws. It may serve, however, as a model for the development or modification of licensure laws [6,8].

IV. PROCESS OF RADIATION THERAPY

The clinical use of ionizing radiation is a complex process involving trained personnel who carry out a variety of interrelated activities. The following information is specifically related to the QMD [6].

A. Clinical Evaluation

The Radiation Oncologist (RO) has the ultimate responsibility to perform a complete and thorough initial and ongoing evaluation of each patient. Beyond that, however, the QMD has an obligation to communicate to the RO any further information found during the process of assessing and designing a radiation treatment plan. The QMD will follow a reasonable course of action based on current knowledge, available resources, and the needs of the patient to deliver effective and safe medical care. The QMD’s course of action should be in accordance with department/institution policies and procedures [6].

The QMD should have access to the patients’ clinical evaluation information and be familiar with basic patient anatomy as demonstrated by computed tomography (CT) and other imaging modalities used in radiation treatment planning (i.e. magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), and ultrasound) [6].

The QMD should be cognizant of any limitations that would possibly impact radiation treatment planning or radiation treatment delivery. These limitations may include poorly chosen immobilization devices, suboptimal positioning, inadequate scan volume, physical or mental inability of patient to cooperate for treatment, etc. Where appropriate, the QMD should discuss any potentially modifiable factors with the RO so that a decision could be made regarding the utility of re-simulation [6].

After the radiation treatment planning process is complete and prior to the initiation of radiation therapy, the QMD shall ensure that all treatment parameters are clearly documented, orders are approved by the responsible RO, and the checks by the QMP are complete. For a patient undergoing external beam radiation, the QMD should maintain discussions with the RO

and Radiation Therapists (RTTs) regarding the treatment sites and changes in the treatment area that may affect depth or volume of calculation. Any changes should be evaluated to determine the necessity of an adjustment in the original radiation treatment plan, as per the direction of the RO. Any changes in the planned treatment prescribed by the radiation oncologist, such as adjustment in immobilization, new calculations, or design of a new dosimetric treatment plan must be documented on the record and approved by the RO[6].

B. Establishing Treatment Goals

The QMD must clearly know the physician-directed treatment goals for the radiotherapy patient. The treatment goals are a “documented, patient-specific planning directive that guides treatment planning staff and defines target and normal tissue volume goals” and are a standard requirement for APEX accreditation (*standard 2.2*). Treatment goals should be specified for all the recommended organs at risk (OARs) as delineated by disease site in Table 1 of the *Standardizing Normal Tissue Contouring for Radiation Therapy Treatment Planning: An ASTRO Consensus Paper*. The QMD, in consultation with the RO, evaluates the patient to provide knowledge and guidance for the following [6,11]:

- Delineation of previously irradiated areas through review and/or reconstruction of previous treatment plan(s).
- Information on dose tolerances of normal tissues and critical structures.
- Selection of the therapeutic modalities to achieve treatment goals.
- Delineation of the specified critical structure volumes.
- Verification of patient positioning and immobilization to ensure reproducibility.

C. Informed Consent

Informed consent provides necessary documentation reflective of the conversation the physician had with the patient, legal guardian, and/or the family members. The conversation details all relative information including, but not limited to: diagnosis, staging, treatment, adjuvant treatment, alternative options, prognosis, and all possible side effects. Acquiring the consent of either the patient or the legal guardian indicates an understanding of the treatment(s) and procedure(s) that are to be performed. Informed consent, with appropriate documentation, must follow institutional policies and procedures and comply with state and federal law while verifying the understanding and agreement to seek further diagnostic and therapeutic treatment. It is important to understand that informed consent is a continuous process, valid throughout the course of treatment, unless the patient either refuses treatment and/or seeks alternative treatment. As a member of the radiation oncology team, the QMD may be called upon to serve as a witness to the informed consent or verify that the patient understands the procedure [12,13,14].

D. Patient Education

Effective education is vital throughout the patient's treatment process. Medical Dosimetrists should have effective communication skills using a variety of methods, media, and materials. Patient preferences will offer guidance to choose which education materials and methods are most suitable [15]. It is important to review all information given to the patient with the patient so that any questions or concerns are addressed. All materials and educational information should be updated as needed. In addition, materials should be reviewed for accuracy and relevance [16].

The responsibility to educate the patient and the family is shared by many members of the radiation oncology team. The QMD should be prepared to provide accurate and appropriate patient education in accordance with departmental/institutional policies. Communication should facilitate and establish a positive relationship with the patient, family members, and other health care providers while preserving all measures of patient confidentiality [6,17].

Accurate and appropriate patient education by the QMD includes, but is not limited to:

- Assessing the patient's need for information.
- Provide reassurance and privacy.
- Address patient questions and concerns regarding the procedure.
- Refer questions about diagnosis, treatment, or prognosis to the patient's physician.
- Explain and instruct at a level that the patient can comprehend and retain.
- Provide specific information regarding the treatment, including the delivery of radiation, instruction for the daily maintenance of treatment field markings, and instruction on self-care procedures [16].

E. Simulation of Treatment

Simulation is broadly defined as the process of establishing and documenting the treatment position, the volume to be treated, and the normal structures adjacent to or within this volume in preparation for radiation treatment. Simulation includes the determination of optimal simulation techniques, patient positioning, and positioning aids and immobilization devices [6,8].

The QMD, in accordance with departmental/institutional policy, should participate in the development of optimal treatment strategies using critical thinking skills and technical knowledge during the simulation process that results in radiation treatment delivery that is attainable and reliable.

QMD participation in simulation may include, but is not limited to, the following [6,18,19]:

- Patient data acquisition via computer generated data sets from medical imaging devices such as CT, PET, MR, etc.
- Patient data acquisition via manual methods such as physical measurements, bolus fabrications, and wire contour.
- Input into the use or necessity of ancillary treatment devices and patient immobilization techniques.

- Advise on any additional patient positioning techniques needed for simulation.

F. Fabrication of Treatment Aids

Accuracy and reproducibility of the patient setup, which is initiated at the time of simulation, is essential to reduce positional inaccuracies during daily treatment. Devices and methods used to aid in the positioning, immobilizing, and stabilizing of the radiotherapy patient, are critical to the accuracy of the patient's treatment. The shielding of normal tissue, the use of compensating filters, bolus, masks, body cradles, etc. are designed to improve treatment accuracy and reduce treatment toxicity. These treatment aids should be carefully designed and used where clinically appropriate. Considerations for the simulation process include patient comfort, beam orientation, and target location [1,8,20].

The QMD should be involved in the consultation, development, and fabrication of treatment aids and devices. The QMD works in collaboration with the RTT, QMP and RO to design and fabricate optimal treatment aids, as necessary [19,20].

G. Segmentation

It cannot be emphasized enough that the RO is ultimately responsible for the integrity and accuracy of segmentation performed for their patient and that verification of defined contours falls to the RO regardless of whether they are human or technologically defined [21]. QMD's that use technological aids such as auto-segmentation tools (including artificial intelligence algorithms) should be appropriately trained in their use. It should be noted that in a 2-year period, the Radiation Oncology Healthcare Advisory Council (RO-HAC) identified more than 60 Radiation Oncology Incident Learning System (RO-ILS) events containing an issue with contours, including problematic plans with critical structures not contoured and normal tissues incorrectly or incompletely delineated [21]. The QMD, at the direction of the RO, may be called upon to perform duties related to segmentation. The QMD should be skilled at this task and is encouraged to review the atlases and references in *Standardizing Normal Tissue Contouring for Radiation Therapy Treatment Planning: An ASTRO Consensus Paper* for any questions about the delineation of normal tissues [22]. However, there undoubtedly will be some normal tissues that are more challenging and the QMD may not be able to assist with these structures despite an earnest effort. The QMD should not be asked to complete segmentation tasks that exceed their training, knowledge of anatomy, knowledge of the patient, or their scope of practice. For instance, the generation of a breast planning treatment volume (PTV) that is defined by the borders of the tangent fields set by the RO is within the scope of practice of the QMD; however, the segmentation by the QMD of a gross tumor volume (GTV) in the lung is not.

The QMD should be aware that requests to determine gross tumor volumes (GTVs) and clinical target volumes (CTVs) are outside the scope of practice of the QMD, and that the QMD who submits to these requests is in violation of the Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board Ethical Standards, specifically standard 12. The QMD should be allowed to voice their concerns on requests that are outside of their scope of practice without fear of retribution within the bounds of institutional, state, and federal regulatory guidelines [10,19].

H. Image Fusion

The practice of modern radiotherapy involves the quantitative use of several types of imaging data. The image data from various modalities is used in treatment planning, treatment

delivery, and treatment monitoring [23]. Modern imaging modalities for radiation treatment planning include 3D and 4D computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasound (US), single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), and positron emission tomography (PET) [24]. During the process of treatment delivery, planar and volumetric x-ray imaging technology (directly integrated with the treatment delivery system, such as cone-beam CT) is used to automatically or manually compare with and match the treatment planning image data. Some treatment imaging systems utilize x-rays, ultrasound, or MRI to provide near real-time analysis of anatomical regions of interest and soft-tissue changes, allowing for motion management, plan adaptation, response assessment, etc. [23].

Depending on the treatment planning and delivery techniques available, there may be diverse and numerous datasets to match for treatment planning, delivery, etc. [25]. Since the patient's position and orientation is virtually never identical between two image sets (with the notable exception of multiple modalities used to acquire imaging data at the exact same time), image matching often requires registration, fusion, and deformation techniques [23]. Technical training is required before independently performing imaging manipulation techniques, and each image match used for treatment planning or delivery should be checked by both a RO (who ultimately approves the anatomical matching) and a QMP (for audit of the technical aspects of image matching).

The QMD, working in collaboration with the RO and the QMP, may participate in, but is not required or limited to, the following [19]:

- All aspects of image registration, fusion, and deformable registration, including acquiring relevant and accurate image sets and importing image information into software, if applicable.
- Selection of anatomical region of interest and the fusion/registration/deformation to match desired anatomy.
- Modification and rescaling, as needed, for use in the treatment planning system (TPS).
- Exporting to TPS or other software, if applicable.

I. Physics

Accurate calculations are required to provide precise dose delivery, matching the RO's prescription for all treatments, both external beam and brachytherapy [8].

The QMD uses knowledge of the physical properties of treatment units in external beam radiotherapy to perform dose calculations, whether manual or computer-generated [1,2,3,4]. These calculations may include, but are not limited to, beam modifying devices, irregular fields, gaps and/or feathering for adjacent fields, and dose to points away from the beam central axis. Calculations for brachytherapy – typically to establish dwell times and cumulative dose distributions - require the QMD to use knowledge of radioactive isotopes, radioactive decay, source construction, optimal geometry of source placement, and physical properties of treatment units [8,18,19,26].

The QMD is thoroughly trained in the physics of dose calculations, and may perform them independently with appropriate QMP review, or may provide dosimetry assistance for a QMP [18].

In addition, the QMD is trained in the basic physics of dose measurements and quality assurance (QA) of treatment delivery units and may perform dosimetric measurements and QA under the oversight of a QMP [8,18,19,26].

J. Patient Evaluation During Treatment

Throughout the entire course of treatment, each patient should be continuously monitored for any unwanted side effects, reactions, and therapeutic responses as directed by the RO or QMP [8]. Patient evaluation is routinely performed by the RTTs, nursing staff, and physicians. If the patient experiences unexpected responses, the QMD should respond to concerns voiced by any of these staff members and lend expertise as to the potential changes in delivery of the planned treatment as affected by these anatomic changes. The QMD may suggest re-simulation and re-planning, for instance, if there is a concern that anatomic changes are of a significant nature. The RO should be informed of the concerns of staff members any time it is determined to be appropriate.

The QMD may be involved in the monitoring of the patients in accordance with institutional/departmental policy. Patient monitoring and evaluation may include the following [6,18]:

- Periodic review of the patient treatment record
- Patient weight gain/loss
- Anatomic changes, particularly those resulting in changes to the effectiveness of immobilization devices, effective separation, or changes detected by imaging guidance such as large changes in target volume
- Changes in the patient surface as detected by surface imaging

K. External Beam Treatment

External beam treatment (EBT) is the delivery of ionizing radiation to a patient from a controlled and regulated radiation-producing machine. Machines that deliver external beam irradiation are medical linear accelerators and particle therapy units. EBT planning can be planned and/or calculated using 2D/3D treatment, Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT), Image Guided Radiation Therapy (IGRT), Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy (VMAT), Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS), Stereotactic Body Radiosurgery (SBRT), Total Body Irradiation (TBI), and any other source of EBT treatment methods. EBT planning also includes the use of individualized immobilization devices, patient localization, skin bolus techniques, computer-generated radiographic image reconstruction, and sophisticated breath control techniques and motion detection. The QMD, in collaboration with the RO and QMP, participates in the following activities in accordance with each institution's policies and procedures [8,19,27,28]:

- Consultation during simulation
- Design of treatment modifying devices, including bolus
- Radiation treatment planning
- Associated calculations
- Appropriate documentation

- Quality assurance

L. Radiation Treatment Planning

1. Classical Radiation Treatment Planning

Classical radiation treatment planning uses patient data acquired from external patient body contours, measurements of patient thickness, and single plane radiographic images. The treatment plans and calculations are usually generated by hand using isodose curves or TPS where the patient information is digitized or scanned into the TPS.

a. Two-Dimensional (2D) Radiation Treatment Planning

2D radiation treatment planning refers to a traditional technique of planning from a 2D single plane radiographic image or a single external patient contour that is most often acquired using lead wire or plaster strips in conjunction with physical measurements. The contour must contain the central ray location, point of calculation, and one collimator axis of a beam that is coplanar with the contour plane [29]. Two examples of a single plane image include radiographs taken during simulation using a conventional simulator, and a single axial CT slice from a CT exam [29,30]. Multiple radiographs can allow for OARs to be identified (i.e. spinal cord, lungs, heart, kidneys, bladder, and rectum) [30]. The QMD, working in collaboration with the RO and the QMP, may participate in, but is not limited to, the following activities [19,31]:

- Acquisition of patient data from manual contour and physical measurements
- Incorporation of patient contours and physical measurements while applying principles and concepts of radiation physics and treatment machine properties to develop an optimal radiation treatment plan based upon the RO written directive
- Understand and perform calculations pertaining to beam modifying devices, irregular fields, gaps for adjacent fields, and off-axis calculations
- Evaluation of information generated from the radiation treatment plan to measure its appropriateness and efficacy
- Accurate transfer of treatment parameters into the patient treatment record and verification system
- Assistance with the patient set-up and treatment execution

2. Modern Radiation Treatment Planning

The main distinction between modern radiation treatment planning and classical radiation therapy treatment planning is modern radiation treatment planning requires the availability of 3D and 4D anatomic information and a TPS that can calculate 3D and 4D dose distributions and dose-volume statistics for target volumes and OARs. The anatomic information is usually obtained in the form of closely spaced transverse images, which can be processed to reconstruct anatomy in any plane, or in three or four dimensions. Target volumes, critical structures, and other relevant landmarks are outlined slice by slice by the QMD, QMP, and RO [24]. The QMD is an active participant in modern radiation treatment planning techniques.

a) Three-Dimensional Conformal Radiation Treatment (3D CRT) Planning

3D CRT is a type of external beam radiation treatment planning that utilizes image-based studies to acquire a 3D visualization of the treatment site and surrounding area. The treatment planning CT is done with the patient in a reproducible position using

treatment immobilization devices. When used in conjunction with treatment planning evaluation tools, an optimal treatment field arrangement of tailored radiation treatment fields is utilized to deliver conformal doses of radiation to the tumor and affected area while reducing dose to nearby healthy tissue [24,27,31].

b) Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT) and Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy (VMAT) Treatment Planning

Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy (IMRT) and Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy (VMAT) are inverse radiation treatment planning techniques in which the dose across the treatment site is modulated resulting in sharp dose gradients between target and non-target areas in a conformal manner while delivering minimal dose to critical structures and surrounding normal tissue. This is accomplished using multiple beam projections and beams containing multiple segments to modify the beam intensity across the field. The QMD specifies desired dose objectives and constraints for the target(s), adjacent structures, critical structures, as well as selects beam energy and beam orientation. The TPS will optimize a plan and create intensity fluences for each beam based on data input and prioritization of structures to deliver a dose distribution to meet planning objectives [24,32]. IMRT & VMAT require a sophisticated TPS and specialized training for all personnel involved.

c) Four-Dimensional (4D) Radiation Treatment Planning

4D radiation treatment planning adds the dimension of motion to the treatment planning image. It involves respiratory correlated CT (4D CT) imaging and image guided tracking of tumor motion. 4D radiation treatment planning should be employed when respiratory motion is a concern, particularly in the thoracic and abdominal regions [33]. There are several techniques for 4D radiation treatment planning such as gating and non-gating approaches [34,35]. It may be used in IMRT and Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy/Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy (SBRT/SABR)-radiation-treatment planning with the aim of maximizing tumor dose by tracking and compensating for target motion during radiation treatment delivery while minimizing normal tissue dose to surrounding structures [36]. 4D planning and 4D CT data acquisition requires sophisticated helical multi-slice CT scanners, 4D monitoring devices, TPS, and specialized training for all personnel involved.

d) Adaptive Radiation Therapy (ART) Treatment Planning

Adaptive radiation therapy (ART) accounts for morphological differences in patient and tumor anatomy that may happen during treatment through the creation of new treatment plans during the treatment course. Examples of changes that might trigger ART to be clinically necessary include excessive weight loss and tumor shrinkage as a therapeutic response. ART is intended to reduce normal tissue toxicity by limiting the amount of adjacent tissue irradiated by accounting for these anatomic changes. Advances in image guidance, automated contour definition and treatment planning, and treatment delivery technologies affords opportunity to address such changes by modifying the developed plan at various stages of treatment, including daily. ART can be undertaken offline, online, and/or real-time depending on the clinical setting and requires a sophisticated TPS and specialized training for all personnel involved [37-40].

e) The Role of the QMD in Modern Radiation Treatment Planning

Currently 3DCRT, IMRT, VMAT, 4D, and ART treatment planning techniques represent the latest in modern radiation treatment planning. As the field of radiation oncology evolves the QMD will continue to be an active participant in modern radiation treatment planning.

The QMD participation includes, but is not limited to the following activities, which are performed in collaboration with the QMP and the RO, in accordance with each department/institution's policies and procedures [6,8,18-19,26-27,32,41-56]:

- The QMD shall communicate with the therapy staff as to any challenges that may present themselves at time of simulation.
- Treatment setup points are to be set in a manner that does not impede or further complicate treatment.
- Ensure proper orientation of volumetric patient image data on the RTP system from CT and other fused image data sets.
- Proper delineation of treatment devices as agreed upon by the QMP.
- Image Fusion (rigid or deformable) using diagnostic and/or treatment planning imaging such as MRI, PET/CT, 4D CT scans and other diagnostic CTs (containing oral or IV contrast). These imaging data sets can be fused to the Treatment Planning CT to aid the RO in the delineation of treatment volumes (TVs) and aid the QMD/QMP/RO in the contouring of normal structures.
- Participate in the analysis of organ motion and delineation.
- Contour clearly discernible critical normal structures.
- Identify any items which may change during treatment as defined by initial simulation (contrast, gas, etc.).
- Review of targets as designed by RO.
- Design and generate the radiation treatment plan under the direction of the RO and the QMP, ideally using a written directive specific to the site of disease being treated. Including Dose Volume Histograms (DVHs), dose distributions, dose clouds, and TV rendering.
- Evaluate plan with RO showing TV's coverage, dose to critical and/or normal structures and knowledge of tolerance dose constraints.
- Transfer treatment plan parameters (including beam monitor units) and planning images to the record and verify treatment delivery software.
- Assist in the QA of the treatment plan as defined by department policies and procedures.
- Generate all technical documentation required to implement the radiation treatment plan.
- Available to assist the RTT with the first treatment and to assist with verification for subsequent treatments as necessary.
- Provide input in the continuation of care with respect to patient setup as requested by the RO.
- Participate in peer review of contours, prescription, radiation treatment plan, and verification images in conjunction with other members of the radiation oncology

team.

- Assist QMP and RO in the analysis of dose changes with respect to habitus changes.
- Bill accordingly and in compliance with institutional policies, applicable insurance provider guidance documents and current procedural terminology definitions when selecting appropriate billing codes.

M. Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS)/Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy (SBRT)

Stereotactic Radiosurgery (SRS) is external beam radiation treatment technique designed specifically to deliver very high doses of radiation for cranial lesions in one to five fractions. Additionally, Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) is similar, but designed for extra cranial lesions in one to five fractions. The term Stereotactic Ablative Radiotherapy (SABR) is also used to describe these techniques. Specialized radiation planning techniques, or even specific TPSs are utilized to design a dosimetric treatment plan that will not only have high target doses, but steep dose fall off outside of the target volume [19,57-60].

A high level of coordinated efforts on the part of the RTT (in both simulation and treatment), RO, QMD, and QMP must be practiced assuring safe and accurate treatment delivery. Strict quality assurance measures, as well as machine tolerance parameters must be utilized for SRS & SBRT procedures. If medical dosimetry training did not include SRS/SBRT/SABR training and direct clinical experience, then specific training and mentoring in these procedures should be obtained prior to performing any stereotactic treatment planning procedure. In addition, there may be vendor specific delivery systems that require additional training.

For QMD participation activities include, but are not limited to the following, which may be performed in collaboration with the QMP and RO, in accordance with each department/institution's policies and procedures:

- Contour clearly discernible critical normal structures.
- Ensure proper orientation of volumetric patient imaging data on the radiation therapy treatment planning (RTP) system (from computed tomography and other fused image data sets).
- Design and generate the radiation treatment plan under the direction of the RO and the QMP as required.
- Participate in peer review processes for volume delineation and treatment plan development
- Generate all technical documentation required to implement the radiation treatment plan.
- Be available for the first treatment and assist with verification for subsequent treatments as necessary.

N. Craniospinal Irradiation (CSI)

Craniospinal Irradiation (CSI) is a highly specialized technique utilized in the treatment management of central nervous system malignancies such as medulloblastoma, neuroectodermal tumors, ependymomas, gliomas and some leukemias. CSI is particularly demanding technique due to the potential gaps, overlaps or unacceptable dose distributions that may be encountered. Multimodality imaging is typically used for better target delineation. Immobilization devices are generally non-invasive and are chosen to significantly reduce patient movement, prevent target misalignment, and to improve the accuracy of treatment delivery [6,18,19,61]

A high level of coordinated effort on the part of the RTT (in both simulation and treatment), RO, QMD, and QMP must be practiced to assure safe and accurate treatment delivery.

The QMD may perform the following duties in collaboration with and, as appropriate, under the oversight of a QMP and RO in accordance with the department/institutional policies and procedures:

- Participation in radiation treatment simulation during creation of immobilization devices and acquisition of treatment planning images.
- Ensure integrity and accuracy of volumetric patient image data in the TPS (import, registration, fusion, etc.).
- Contour clearly discernible normal structures.
- Participate with the RO and the QMP regarding treatment set up decisions (allowable couch angles, treatment machine, energies, etc.) as needed and/or requested.
- Design and generate the radiation treatment plan/calculations in consultation with the RO and the QMP.
- Generate all technical documentation required for the implementation of the radiation treatment plan, in conjunction with the RO.
- Transfer the plan parameters (including beam monitor units, field sizes, digitally reconstructed radiographs (DRRs), etc.) to the treatment delivery unit, or verify accurate transferal if this process is computer automated.
- Participate in dose measurements and/or QA measurements as requested by and under the oversight of the QMP.
- Participate, as necessary, in the first treatment setup/preparation and to assist with subsequent treatments.

O. Image Guided Radiotherapy (IGRT)

Image-guided radiation therapy (IGRT) can be defined broadly as radiation therapy that employs imaging to maximize accuracy and precision throughout its entire process of radiation therapy. It includes target and normal tissue delineation, radiation delivery, and adaptation of therapy to anatomic and biological changes over time in individual patients [1]. The image guidance that occurs at the time of radiation delivery within the treatment room is usually called in-room IGRT or simply IGRT [62,63].

For the QMD, the following duties may be performed, in collaboration with, the QMP and RO in accordance with each department/institution's policies and procedures [19,62,63]:

- Consult with and communicate the treatment plan to the multi-professional radiation treatment team.
- Contour clearly discernible critical normal structures-
- Ensure proper orientation of volumetric patient image data from CT and other fused image data sets on the radiation TPS
- Design and generate the treatment plan under the direction of the RO and QMP.
- Generate all technical documentation required for accurate implementation of the IGRT treatment plan.

- Devise and transfer well-defined DRRs and transfer CT image data sets that were used to generate the treatment plan.
- Be available for the first treatment and assist with verification for subsequent treatments as necessary.

P. Total Body Irradiation (TBI)

Total Body Irradiation (TBI) has played an important role in bone marrow transplant (BMT). TBI has primarily three objectives: immunosuppression to avoid rejection of donor transplant; eradication of malignant cells such as in the case of leukemia, lymphoma, and some solid tumors; and eradication of cell populations in genetic disorders [60,61].

For the QMD, the following duties may be performed, in collaboration with RTT and QMP staff and in accordance with each department/institutions policies and procedures [19,60-65]:

- Generate the dose calculations for treatment.
- Patient simulation and measurements.
- Assist with patient setup and immobilization.
- Assist with measurements on phantom prior to patient setup for dose verification.
- Assist with pre-treatment verification measurements.
- Participate in QA under the supervision of the QMP.
- Assist the RTT as the patient set-up and treatment is executed.

Q. Total Skin Electron Irradiation (TSEI)

Low energy electrons are useful for treating superficial lesions covering large areas of the body, such as cutaneous lymphomas. At these energies, electron beams are characterized by a rapid falloff in dose beyond a shallow depth and a minimal x-ray contamination. Thus, superficial skin lesions extending to about 1 cm depth can be effectively treated without exceeding bone marrow tolerance [24].

The majority of TSEI procedures are typically time-consuming and resource intense due to multiple fields, multiple patient orientations per fraction, and in-vivo dosimetry.

TSEI procedures require that a rigorous quality assurance program be established. The QMD may be called upon to assist the QMP with the various types of dosimetric measurements that are performed prior to initiating TSEI procedures [24,70].

For the QMD, the following duties may be performed, in collaboration with a QMP and RO in accordance with each department/institution's policies and procedures [19,24,70]:

- Assist with patient positioning and field verification.
- Design shielding of specific anatomic sites or organs (e.g. eyes).
- Perform in-vivo dosimetry (e.g. evaluate uniformity of dose and confirm monitor unit calibrations).
- Plan boost fields and assist with treatment setup (e.g. soles of feet, perineal area, etc.).

R. Proton Therapy

Proton beam radiotherapy is used to treat most of the tumors that are traditionally treated with x-rays and electrons. The most used applications are the treatment of tumors in close vicinity of critical normal structures. Protons inherently have less entrance and exit dose; therefore, the integral dose is significantly less than photons. Hence, protons are a preferred modality in the treatment of pediatric tumors where there is always a concern for a possible development of secondary malignancies during the lifetime of the patient. Protons are also beneficial in the setting of retreatment, such as treatment of recurring head and neck cancers due to effective tumor control and acceptable acute and late toxicity profiles [18,19,24,71,72].

The QMD should be eligible to participate in all aspects of proton beam therapy. To be effective the QMD should possess a working knowledge of:

- The interactions of protons with matter.
- The radiobiology of charged particles.
- How protons are generated and delivered.
- The dosimetry of proton beams.
- Radiation treatment planning with proton beams.
- The uncertainties related to proton therapy.
- The quality assurance program of institution.

The QMD should then be eligible to participate in the development of optimal treatment strategies, in accordance with the written directive, that result in attainable proton therapy plans. Such duties could include:

- Image import, registration, and deformation.
- Localization of tumor volumes.
- Localization of critical structures.
- Assessment of foreign materials and devices within the beam path for effect on dose distribution
- Performance of dose calculations.
- Generation of isodose distributions based on selection and evaluation of relevant beam arrangements.
- Evaluation of information obtained from dosimetric treatment plans, such as isodose distributions, dose volume histograms (DVHs), plan robustness and other data.
- Accurate transfer and documentation of treatment parameters.
- Quality assurance assessments and continuum of care.

S. Brachytherapy

Brachytherapy is a method of treatment in which sealed radioactive sources are used to deliver radiation at a short distance by interstitial, intracavitary, or surface application. Using one of these techniques, a high radiation dose can be delivered locally to the tumor with rapid dose falloff in the surrounding normal tissue [24].

For the QMD, the following duties may be performed in collaboration with a QMP and RO in accordance with each department/institution's policies and procedures [18,19,73-75]:

- CT simulation

- Consultation during applicator placement
- Image import and registration.
- Implant localization.
- Treatment planning.
- Treatment plan review.
- Associated calculations.
- Source assay.
- Source inventory.
- Source preparation.
- Source transportation.
- Consultation in determining the strength of the radioactive source(s) and arrangement of the source(s) in applicators.
- Participation in low dose rate (LDR), pulsed dose rate (PDR), and high dose rate (HDR) procedures.
- Order, load and/or remove radioactive isotopes.
- Performance of quality assurance of PDR and HDR remote after-loader systems and associated treatment equipment (e.g. applicators, transfer tubes, etc.).
- Performance of quality assurance of LDR treatment equipment.

It is imperative that the QMD be appropriately trained in emergency procedures, be compliant with all NRC or agreement state regulations for procedures and training and follow the principles of ALARA to minimize exposure to patients, staff, themselves, and others [18,19,73-75].

The QMD is considered eligible to do all the above listed tasks, unless specifically prohibited by state statute or NCRP regulations in agreement states that allow other individuals to administer ionizing radiation to patients, provided it is under the personal supervision of an authorized user. The QMD is therefore considered eligible to administer ionizing radiation to patients in NCRP agreement states if the QMD has been appropriately trained. [73].

V. QUALIFICATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Detailed below are the qualifications, responsibilities, and education of a QMD:

A. Qualifications and Certification

A Qualified Medical Dosimetrist (QMD) is an individual who has the knowledge and skills to practice in collaboration with a RO and a QMP. This individual uses critical thinking and problem-solving skills as well as exercises discretion and judgment in the performance of medical dosimetry procedures. [7,18]

As a member of the radiation oncology team, the QMD has knowledge of the overall characteristics and clinical relevance of radiation oncology in the management of cancer or other disease processes, with special expertise in radiation therapy treatment planning.

It is expected that an individual will hold themselves qualified to practice in medical dosimetry only when the knowledge and skills to perform dosimetric tasks has been established. An individual shall be considered eligible to practice independently if they are certified by the

MDCB.

The American Association of Medical Dosimetrists (AAMD) recommends all personnel practicing Medical Dosimetry attain, at a minimum, certification provided by the MDCB. After acquiring certification from the MDCB, the QMD must adhere to the MDCB's Maintenance of Certification guidelines [18,76]. Accordingly, the CMD (Certified Medical Dosimetrist) is recognized as the appropriate credential for the Medical Dosimetrist.

B. Responsibilities

The essential responsibility of the QMD is to generate a clinically acceptable treatment plan. The treatment plan is created by utilizing clinical knowledge appropriately within the areas of anatomy, physiology, clinical oncology, radiobiology, radiation physics, radiation therapy, radiation safety and protection, mathematics, and technology. The QMD is expected to communicate with the RO during the treatment planning process to ensure prescribed planning directives are achieved effectively and participates in communicating the plan to the QMP and the Radiation Therapy Technologist (RTT) for quality assurance and implementation. The QMD utilize evidence-based practice standards to maximize efficacy while maintaining a high degree of accuracy, attention to detail, safety, and ethics. The QMD must use critical thinking skills when performing radiation treatment planning and plan evaluation, consequently recognizing and resolving clinical problems and treatment discrepancies [1,6,17,18,26].

The QMD should be aware of the limitations of their skills, knowledge, credentials, and experience, and should only undertake tasks they are qualified to perform. Certification alone does not qualify a QMD to perform all procedures in the Scope of Practice/Practice Standards. Additional training, education, and experience should be acquired when appropriate [77-79]. The QMD will follow all federal and state regulations regarding licensing, certification and registration and will disclose known limitations when relevant [18].

C. Education

The AAMD recommends that individuals entering the medical dosimetry field be prepared for this profession by earning the minimum of a baccalaureate degree with appropriate prerequisite course work, completing a Medical Dosimetry educational program accredited by the JRCERT, obtaining certification by the MDCB and adhere to the MDCB's Maintenance of Certification guidelines [1,6,18]. The Certified Medical Dosimetrist is recognized as the appropriate credential for the QMD [7].

Beginning in 2017, minimum requirements for MDCB eligibility include a baccalaureate degree and graduation from a JRCERT accredited Medical Dosimetry educational program. The AAMD fully supports the 2017 initiative [1,6,7,18,78-80].

VI. PATIENT AND PERSONNEL SAFETY

Each facility should have in place policies and procedures to provide for the safety of patients and personnel. These should include attention to the physical environment, the proper use, storage, and disposal of medications and hazardous materials and their attendant equipment, and methods for addressing medical and other emergencies [1].

The QMD may be involved in activities designed to enhance the safety of patients as well as the public and health care providers during diagnostic and therapeutic services [6]. The role of the QMD in safety and quality in cancer care delivery includes, but is not limited to [19]:

- Participating in pretreatment team discussion to anticipate dosimetric and safety challenges during a patient's imaging procedures and treatment. This might include literature review, consideration of radiation dose from image guidance, and analysis of the patient's previous radiation treatment history.
- Participating in recommendations and decisions for appropriate patient positioning, positioning devices, immobilization for simulation and treatment, and other strategies to mitigate potential dosimetric and safety challenges, in collaboration with the rest of the radiation oncology treatment team.
- Communicating information about treatment strategy to the rest of the radiation oncology team to assure safe and accurate delivery of radiation treatment.
- Providing feedback about the development of patient-specific planning directives from the physician to the dosimetry team to facilitate clear directives of any governing agencies.
- Participating in departmental peer review (e.g. weekly chart rounds, new start conference, etc.).
- Participating in departmental safety team efforts – a critical component of a culture of safety - to discuss near misses, treatment deviations, incident learning reports, and any unsafe conditions that cause or might cause harm to patients or employees.
- Maintaining collaborative partnerships with all other members of the radiation oncology team to promote patient and employee safety.
- Maintaining thorough knowledge of departmental emergency policies, including recognition of a patient emergency and activation of department emergency procedures.
- Adhering to all institutional safety guidelines and standards.
- Practicing all quality assurance measures prescribed by institutional guidelines.
- Practicing ALARA (as defined in NRC 10 CFR 20.1003) [81].
- Following and promoting current professional safety and quality guidelines (e.g. AAMD, AAPM, ASTRO) as applicable to clinical practice if institutional guidelines do not meet these standards.

VII. CONTINUING EDUCATION

The QMD should continually strive to improve their knowledge and skill sets related to the medical dosimetry profession [1]. The field of radiation oncology is a continuously growing and rapidly evolving field. Participation in appropriate continuing medical dosimetry education activities and sharing knowledge and skills with colleagues is essential [18,76,82]. Each licensed, credentialed, and/or certified member will undertake and document continuing professional education as required by the appropriate licensing authority [1,76,82].

VIII. CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT (QI)

A Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) Program is recommended for every radiation oncology facility. A CQI Program's goal includes, but is not limited to, the following: identifying problems, creating action plans, verifying action plans were performed, and evaluating the effectiveness of the action plans [1,8,19].

The QMD should participate in the departmental CQI program per departmental policies. The following duties fall into the scope of practice for the QMD, although the QMD may require some additional training to become proficient in these safety techniques:

- Participation in incident learning systems.
- Participation in root cause analysis.
- Assistance in formulation of department-specific corrective and quality improvement actions.
- Assistance in workflow improvements and communication between role groups within the radiation oncology facility.
- Participation in peer review workflows

IX. DOCUMENTATION AND COMMUNICATION

Radiation oncology incorporates the science and technology of integrating complex treatment delivery and planning systems in conjunction with the management of individual patient care.

Timely, accurate, and effective communication with all members of the radiation oncology treatment team is critical to high quality patient care. Communication may be physically written (hardcopy), electronic (digital), or direct [1,8,17]. As a general principle, all documentation should be prepared in a timely, legible, and useful manner [17]. The utilization of systems that facilitate clear, unambiguous and efficient communication among all team members is essential for straightforward document retrieval and enhanced communication [1,8,17].

The participation of the QMD in both documentation and communication is essential to the process of patient data acquisition, radiation treatment planning, treatment evaluation, accurate treatment delivery, quality improvement and quality management for radiation oncology patients [1,6,17-19].

The QMD must clearly and accurately communicate with the RO and the QMP during the radiation treatment planning process [1,6,17-19].

The QMD must accurately transfer and document the treatment parameters either manually or electronically, according to department policies, and clearly communicate the treatment plan to the RTTs [1,6,17-19].

The QMD may be involved in the performance and documentation of daily and weekly checks of calculations, treatment delivery, and treatment charting, depending upon departmental policies [83]. The QMD also participates in documentation as related to fiscal practices, such as billing, in accordance with institutional policies [1,6,17-19]

The QMD is expected to participate in the documentation of dosimetry/departmental procedures, and to communicate with all members of the radiation oncology team openly and effectively [1,6,17-19]. The QMD is expected to adhere to regulations under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) and the HIPAA Privacy Rule in communication of certain Protected Health Information (PHI). The QMD is expected to follow all institutional guidelines concerning PHI [17].

As a patient's cancer care is multi-faceted and contains both interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary frameworks, informative communication is key for enhancing safety within medicine. Therefore, the QMD should understand how they can contribute to and foster a culture of safety in the workplace. With abundant collaboration throughout the planning and treatment delivery process, the QMD is responsible for cultivating teamwork with both interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary cohorts. The QMD should engage in honest reporting about any adverse events. The QMD should display a commitment to organizational learning. The QMD should adopt a non-punitive response to error, free from shaming or judgment. The QMD should also be vocal about any practices which might negatively impact a patient [1,6,17-19].

The QMD will not discriminate against colleagues, patients, and others supporting them regardless of age, gender, race, ethnicity, religion, disability, sexual orientation, gender identity, educational background, national origin, or any other distinguishing characteristics or traits [84].

REFERENCES FOR PRACTICES STANDARDS FOR THE MEDICAL DOSIMETRIST

1. American Society for Radiation Oncology. Safety is No Accident: A Framework for Quality Radiation Oncology Care, Revised 2019.
[https://www.astro.org/ASTRO/media/ASTRO/Patient%20Care%20and%20Research/PDFs/Safety is No Accident.pdf](https://www.astro.org/ASTRO/media/ASTRO/Patient%20Care%20and%20Research/PDFs/Safety%20is%20No%20Accident.pdf). Accessed December 13, 2022
2. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists, 2020 Workforce Survey Technical Report #2: Membership Workforce Survey of Currently Active Medical Dosimetrists, 2020.
(<https://pubs.medicaldosimetry.org/pub/6C8C7434-A831-F115-6AB9-9F0C0CA904FA/>). Accessed December 13, 2022.
3. United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Standard Occupational Classification, 2018 Standards Occupational Classification System, 29-0000 Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations, 29-2036 Medical Dosimetrists.
<https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes292036.htm> Accessed December 13, 2022..
4. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. AAMD Mission Statement, 2018.
<https://www.medicaldosimetry.org/about/aamd-mission-statement/>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
5. Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board. Joint Statement by the AAMD and The MDCB on ASRT Practice Standards. <https://www.medicaldosimetry.org/about/scope-of-practice/> Accessed December 13, 2022.
6. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. Practice Standards for the Medical Dosimetrist, 2019. <https://pubs.medicaldosimetry.org/pub/5B9DDF79-A8FD-2515-AF19-2DFA4AD8335C>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
7. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. Definition of a Qualified Medical Dosimetrist, 2012. <https://www.medicaldosimetry.org/about/definition/>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
8. American College of Radiology. ACR-ASTRO Practice Parameter for Radiation Oncology, Revised 2018. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/acr/files/practice-parameters/radonc.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
9. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. AAMD Code of Ethics, 2008.
<https://www.medicaldosimetry.org/about/aamd-mission-statement/>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
10. Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board. Ethical Standards and Ethical Complaint Procedures of the Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board, 2021. <https://mdcb.org/about-mdcb/ethical-standards> Accessed December 13, 2022.
11. American Society for Radiation Oncology. APEX Program Standards. 2020.
<https://www.astro.org/ASTRO/media/ASTRO/Daily%20Practice/PDFs/APEXStandards.pdf>. Accessed March 29, 2023.
12. American Medical Association. Informed Consent website. <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/informed-consent> Accessed December 13, 2022.
13. American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter on Informed Consent – Radiation Oncology <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/InformedConsent->

- RO.pdf. Accessed December 13, 2022.
14. DePoy E, Gitlin L. Protecting the boundaries. In: *Introduction to Research: Understanding and Applying Multiple Strategies*. 4th ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier Mosby; 2011: 152-153, 157.
 15. Ghorob A. Health coaching: teaching patients how to fish. *Fam Pract Manag*. 2013;20(3):40-42. PMID: 23939739 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23939739.
 16. Washington C, Leaver D. Radiation Therapy Education. *Principles and Practice of Radiation Therapy*, 4th edition. St. Louis, MO: Mosby, 2015.
 17. American College of Radiology. ACR–ASTRO PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR COMMUNICATION: RADIATION ONCOLOGY, Revised 2019. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Communication-RO.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
 18. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. Scope of Practice of a Medical Dosimetrist, 2019. <https://pubs.medicaldosimetry.org/pub/C06C1CDF-0923-4DAE-2F46-496BD00A429D>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
 19. Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board, MDCB Job Task Analysis November 2018. https://mdcb.org/sites/mdcb/files/documents/2019/1_MDCB%20Summary_JTA_0.pdf. Accessed December 13, 2022.
 20. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. What is a Medical Dosimetrist? <https://www.medicaldosimetry.org/about/medical-dosimetrist/> Accessed December 13, 2022.
 21. Patient Safety Organization, C., *Aggregate Report - Patients Safety Work Product - Q4 2017*. 2018.
 22. Wright JL, Yom SS, Awan MJ, Dawes S, Fischer-Valuck B, Kudner R, Vega RM, Rodrigues G. Standardizing Normal Tissue Contouring for Radiation Therapy Treatment Planning: An ASTRO Consensus Paper; *Practical Radiation Oncology*, 2018.12.003. [https://www.practicalradonc.org/article/S1879-8500\(18\)30353-9/pdf](https://www.practicalradonc.org/article/S1879-8500(18)30353-9/pdf). Accessed December 13, 2022.
 23. Brock K., Mutic S., McNutt T R., et al. American Association of Physicists in Medicine; Use of image registration and fusion algorithms and techniques in radiotherapy: Report of the AAPM Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group No. 132; May 23, 2017.
 24. Khan F M., Gibbons J P., *The Physics of Radiation Therapy*, 5th edition; Wolters Kluwer Philadelphia, PA; 2014.
 25. Oh S, Kim S. Deformable image registration in radiation therapy. *Radiation Oncology Journal* 2017;35(2):101-111. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5518453/pdf/roj-2017-2000325.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
 26. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. Medical Dosimetrist General Job Description, Revised 2020. <https://www.medicaldosimetry.org/about/job-description>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
 27. American College of Radiology. ACR–ARS PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR 3-D CONFORMAL EXTERNAL-BEAM RADIATION THERAPY, Revised 2021. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/3D-Conformal.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2022.

28. Washington C, Leaver D. Radiation Therapy Education. *Principles and Practice of Radiation Therapy*, 4th edition. St. Louis, MO: Mosby, 2016.
29. Bourland JD. Radiation Oncology Physics. In: Gunderson L, Tepper J, eds. *Clinical Radiation Oncology*, 2nd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Churchill Livingstone, 2007:143.
30. Parker W, Patrocinio H. Clinical Treatment Planning in External Photon Beam Radiotherapy. In: Podgorsak EB, ed. *Radiation Oncology Physics: A Handbook for Teachers and Students*. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency, 2005:223-224.
31. Starkschall G: What is 3-D Radiation Therapy Treatment Planning? Overview of 2-DRTP and 3-D RTP. In: Purdy JA, Starkschall G, eds. *A practical guide to 3-D planning and conformal radiation therapy*. Madison, WI: Advanced Medical Publishing, 1999:1-2.
32. American College of Radiology. ACR–ARS PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR INTENSITY-MODULATED RADIATION THERAPY (IMRT), Revised 2021. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/imrt-ro.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
33. Sweeney RA, Seubert B, Stark S, Homann V, Muller G, Flentje M, Guckenberger M. Accuracy and inter-observer variability of 3D versus 4D cone-beam CT based image-guidance in SBRT for Lung Tumors. *Radiat Onc*. 2012;7:81.
34. Reitz B, Parda D, Colonias A, Lee V, Miften M. Investigation of Simple IMRT Delivery Techniques for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Patients with Respiratory Motion Using 4DCT. *Med Dos*. 2009; 34:158-169.
35. Keal P, Mageras GS, Balter JM, et al. American Association of Physicists in Medicine Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group 76. The Management of Respiratory Motion in Radiation Oncology. *Med Phys*. 2006;33:3874-3900.
36. Huang TC, Hsiao CY, Chien CR, Liang JA, Shih TC, Zhang GG. IMRT Treatment Plans and Functional Planning with Functional Lung imaging from 4D-CT for thoracic cancer patients. *Radiat Onc*. 2013; 8:3
37. Wu, Qingrong Jackie PhD; Li, Taoran BS; Wu, Qiuwen PhD; Yin, Fang-Fang PhD. Adaptive Radiation Therapy: Technical Components and Clinical Applications. *The Cancer Journal* 17(3):p 182-189, May 2011.
38. Glide-Hurst CK, Lee P, Yock AD, Olsen JR, Cao M, Siddiqui F, Parker W, Doemer A, Rong Y, Kishan AU, Benedict SH, Li XA, Erickson BA, Sohn JW, Xiao Y, Wuthrick E. Adaptive Radiation Therapy (ART) Strategies and Technical Considerations: A State of the ART Review From NRG Oncology. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2021 Mar 15;109(4):1054-1075.
39. Lamb J, Cao M, Kishan A, Agazaryan N, Thomas DH, Shaverdian N, Yang Y, Ray S, Low DA, Raldow A, Steinberg ML, Lee P. Online Adaptive Radiation Therapy: Implementation of a New Process of Care. *Cureus*. 2017 Aug 27;9(8):e1618.
40. van Timmeren, J.E., Chamberlain, M., Krayenbuehl, J. *et al*. Treatment plan quality during online adaptive re-planning. *Radiat Oncol* 15, 203 (2020).
41. Lozano RG. Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy. In: Washington CM, Leaver D, eds. *Principles and Practice of Radiation Therapy*, 3rd ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 2010:345.
42. Fraas B, Doppke K, Hunt M, et al. American Association of Physicists in Medicine Radiation

- Therapy Committee Task Group 53. Quality Assurance for Clinical Radiotherapy Planning. *Med Phys.* 1998;25(10):1779.
43. Papanikolaou N, Battista J, Boyer A, et al. American Association of Physicists in Medicine Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group 65. Tissue Inhomogeneity Corrections for Megavoltage Photon Beams. *Med Phys.* July 2004.
 44. Parker W, Patrocinio H. Clinical Treatment Planning in External Photon Beam Radiotherapy. In: Podgorsak EB, ed. *Radiation Oncology Physics: A Handbook for Teachers and Students.* Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency, 2005:219-228.
 45. ICRU Report 50: Prescribing, recording, and reporting photon beam therapy. Bethesda, MD. International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements, 1993.
 46. ICRU Report 62: Prescribing, Recording and Reporting Photon Beam Therapy (Supplement to ICRU Report 50). Bethesda, MD. International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements, 1999.
 47. Moore K, Brame S, Low D, Mutic S. Quantitative Metrics for Assessing Plan Quality. *Semin Radiat Oncol.* 2012;22:62- 69.
 48. ICRU Report 83: Prescribing, Recording, and Reporting Intensity-Modulated Photon-Beam Therapy (IMRT). Bethesda, MD: International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements, 2010.
 49. Rietzel E, Rosenthal SJ, Gierga DP, et al. Moving targets: detection and tracking of internal organ motion for treatment planning and patient set-up. *Radiother Oncol* 2004;73:S68-S72.
 50. Li G, Citrin D, Camphausen K, Mueller B, Burman C, Mychalczak B, Miller RW, Song Y. Advances in 4D medical imaging and 4D radiation therapy. *Technol Cancer Res Treat.* 2008 Feb;7(1):67-81.
 51. Werner R, White B, Handels H, Lu W, Low DA. Technical note: development of a tidal volume surrogate that replaces spirometry for physiological breathing monitoring in 4D CT. *Med Phys.* 2010; 37(2):615-9.
 52. Roland T, Mavroidis P, Shi C, Papanikolaou N. Incorporating system latency associated with real-time target tracking radiotherapy in the dose prediction step. *Phys Med Biol.* 2010; 55(9):2651-68.
 53. Eom J, Xu XG, De S, Shi C. Predictive modeling of lung motion over the entire respiratory cycle using measured pressure-volume data, 4DCT images, and finite-element analysis. *Med Phys.* 2010; 37(8):4389-400.
 54. Li G, Cohen P, Xie H, Low D, Li D, Rimner A. A novel four-dimensional radiotherapy planning strategy from a tumor-tracking beam's eye view. *Phys Med Biol.* 2012;57:7579-98.
 55. Roland T, Hales R, McNutt T, Wong J, Simari P, Tryggestad E. A method for deriving a 4D-interpolated balanced planning target for mobile tumor radiotherapy. *Med Phys.* 2012; 39:195-205.
 56. Rietzel E, Chen G, Choi N, Willet C. Four-dimensional image-based treatment planning: Target volume segmentation and dose calculation in the presence of respiratory motion. *Int J Radiat Onc Biol Phys.* 2005;61:1535-1550.

57. American College of Radiology. ACR PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF BRAIN STEREOTACTIC RADIOSURGERY. Revised 2021. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/StereoBrain.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
58. American College of Radiology. ACR–ASTRO PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF STEREOTACTIC BODY RADIATION THERAPY. Revised 2019. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/SBRTRO.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
59. Das IJ, Dawes SL, Dominello MM, et al. Quality and Safety Considerations in Stereotactic Radiosurgery and Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy: An ASTRO Safety White Paper Update. *Pract Radiat Oncol*. 2022 Jul-Aug;12(4):e253-e268.
60. Matuszak MM, Hadley SW, Feng M, Hayman JA, Brock KK, Burger P, Owen D, Suresh K, Schipper M, Lawrence TS, Moran JM. Enhancing safety and quality through preplanning peer review for patients undergoing stereotactic body radiation therapy. *Pract Radiat Oncol*. 2016 Mar-Apr;6(2):e39-46.
61. Michalski J, Klein E & Gerber R. Method to plan, administer, and verify supine craniospinal irradiation. *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, 3(4), 310 – 316.
62. American College of Radiology. ACR-ASTRO Practice Parameter for Image-Guided Radiation Therapy (IGRT). Revised 2019. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/IGRT-RO.pdf> Accessed December 13, 2022.
63. Qi XS, Albuquerque K, Bailey S, et al. Quality and Safety Considerations in Image Guided Radiation Therapy: An ASTRO Safety White Paper Update. *Pract Radiat Oncol*. 2023 Mar-Apr;13(2):97-111.
64. Baker CA, Yahalom J. *Total Body Irradiation*. In: Gunderson L, Tepper J. eds *Clinical Radiation Oncology 4th ed*. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier, 2016:341-342, 351.
65. American College of Radiology. ACR-ASTRO Practice Parameter for the Performance of Total Body Irradiation. Revised 2022 (CSC/BOC). <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/TBI.pdf?la=en>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
66. Stephens SJ, Roberts KB, Chen Z, Seropian SE, Kelsey CR. *Stem Cell Transplantation and Total-Body Irradiation*. In: Perez and Brady's *Principles and Practice of Radiation Oncology, 7th ed*. Philadelphia, PA: Wolters Kluwer; 2019: 402 –407.
67. Appelbaum FR. The Influence of total dose, fractionation, dose rate, and distribution of total body irradiation on bone marrow transplantation. *Sem Oncol*. 1993;20(4):3-10.
68. Zhuang AH, Liu A, Schultheiss TE, Wong JY. Dosimetric study and verification of total body irradiation using helical tomotherapy and its comparison to extended SSD technique. *Med Dos*. 2010;35(4):243-9
69. Hussain A, Dunscombe P, Villarreal-Barajas JE, Brown D. Total body irradiation dose optimization based on radiological depth. *J Appl Clin Med Phys*. 2012;13(3):3767.
70. American Association of Physicists in Medicine. AAPM Report No. 23, Total Skin Electron Therapy: Technique and Dosimetry, Report of Task Group 30, American Institute of Physics, Inc. New York, NY, 1988. https://aapm.org/pubs/reports/RPT_23.pdf Accessed December 13, 2022.

71. ACR–ASTRO PRACTICE PARAMETER FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF PROTON BEAM RADIATION THERAPY. Revised 2018. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Proton-Therapy-RO.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
72. Romesser, P. B., Cahlon, O., Scher, E. D., Hug, E. B., Sine, K., DeSelm, C., ... Lee, N. Y. (2016). Proton Beam Reirradiation for Recurrent Head and Neck Cancer: Multi-institutional Report on Feasibility and Early Outcomes. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology*Biophysics*Physics*, 95(1), 386-395. American College of Radiology.
73. Part Z Medical Credentialing, Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors, SSRCC, vol. 2, February 2012. https://cdn.ymaws.com/www.crcpd.org/resource/resmgr/docs/SSRCRs/Z_2012.pdf Accessed December 13, 2022.
74. American College of Radiology. ACR-ABS Practice Parameter for the Performance of Low- Dose-Rate Brachytherapy, Revised 2020 (CSC/BOC). <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/LDR-BrachyRO.pdf?la=en>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
75. American College of Radiology. ACR-AAPM Technical Standard for the Performance of High-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy Physics, Revised 2015 (Resolution 50). <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/HDR-BrachyTS.pdf?la=en>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
76. Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board. Criteria for Continuing Education. <https://mdcb.org/continuing-education>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
77. American Association of Physicists in Medicine. AAPM Code of Ethics, 2012. <https://www.aapm.org/org/policies/details.asp?id=260&type=PP¤t=true>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
78. Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board. Mission and Vision Statements. <https://mdcb.org/about-mdcb/mission-and-vision-statements>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
79. Medical Dosimetrist Certification Board. Certification Exam Eligibility. <https://mdcb.org/certification-exam-information/eligibility>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
80. Joint Review Committee on Education in Radiologic Technology. Mission, Vision, and Core Values. <https://www.jrcert.org/about-jrcert/> Accessed December 13, 2022.
81. United States Nuclear Regulatory Committee. Regulation 10 CFR 20.1003. <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/cfr/part020/part020-1003.html>. Accessed December 13, 2022.
82. American Association of Physicists in Medicine. Training and Practice of Medical Dosimetry Subcommittee. https://www.aapm.org/org/structure/default.asp?committee_code=TPMD. Accessed December 13, 2022.
83. Ford, E., Conroy, L., Dong, L., de Los Santos, L.F., Greener, A., Gwe-Ya Kim, G., Johnson, J., Johnson, P., Mechalakos, J.G., Napolitano, B., Parker, S., Schofield, D., Smith, K., Yorke, E. and Wells, M. (2020), Strategies for effective physics plan and chart review in radiation therapy: Report of AAPM Task Group 275. *Med. Phys.*, 47: e236-e272.

84. American Association of Medical Dosimetrists. AAMD DEI Statement, 2022._
<https://www.medicaldosimetry.org/about/aamd-mission-statement/>. Accessed December 13,
2022.

Practice Standards for the Medical Dosimetrist - 2023 AAMD Review Task Group Membership

Chavanon Apinorasethkul, BS, CMD
Senior Medical Dosimetrist
New York Proton Center
New York, NY

Daniel Bailey, PhD, DABR
Director of Physics and Dosimetry
Sarah Cannon
Nashville, TN
AAPM Representative

Karisa Glowinski, MHA, CMD, RT(T)
Medical Dosimetrist
New Mexico Oncology Hematology Consultants, Ltd.
Albuquerque, NM
MDCB Representative

Natasha Gump ASRT(T), CMD, BHS
Certified Medical Dosimetrist
Piedmont Henry Hospital
Jacksonville, FL
MDCB Representative

Lee Hales, B.Sc, CMD
Chief Medical Dosimetrist
Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center
Buffalo, NY

Oluwatosin Kayode, M.S., CMD, RT (R)(T)
Chief Medical Dosimetrist
Winship Cancer Institute
Emory University
Atlanta, GA

Camille Law, MBA, CMD
Program Manager of Medical Dosimetry Training
Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center
New York, NY

Michelle Mundis, MS, CMD
Senior Dosimetrist, Maryland Proton Treatment Center
Clinical Coordinator, Medical Dosimetry Program
University of Maryland Medical Center
Baltimore, MD

Brian Napolitano, MHL, CMD
Director of Medical Dosimetry
Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, MA
AAMD Task Group Chair

John Phillips, MD, MPH,
Radiation Oncologist
Tennessee Oncology
Nashville, TN
ASTRO Multi-Disciplinary Quality Assurance Committee Representative

Abhishek A. Solanki, MD MS
Quality Medical Director, Associate Professor and Staff Radiation Oncologist
Loyola University Chicago, Loyola University Medical Center, and Edward Hines, JR Hospital
Chicago, IL
ASTRO Multi-Disciplinary Quality Assurance Committee Representative

Patricia A. Sponseller, MS, CMD, RTT (R) (T)
Staff Medical Dosimetrist
University of Washington
Seattle, WA

Shiv Srivastava, Ph.D, DABR
Medical Physicist
Clinical Associate Professor of Creighton University
Associate Professor, College of Medicine-Phoenix, University of Arizona
Dignity Health Cancer Institute at St. Joseph's Hospital and Medical Center
Phoenix, AZ
AAPM Representative

Ronald Zammuto, BS, CMD
Lead Dosimetrist, Radiation Oncology
Dana Farber/Brigham and Women's Cancer Center at Milford Regional Medical Center
Milford, MA