

Evaluating Dosimetric Differences Between Flattening Filter-Free (FFF) and Flattened Beams for Small Bowel Sparing in Abdominal Soft Tissue Sarcoma

Ava Azazil, MBA, BS, RT(T); Heidi Ryan, BS, RT(T); Nadia Finerty, BS; Nishele Lenards, PhD, CMD, RT(R)(T), FAAMD; Ashley Hunzeker, MS, CMD; Kristen Dezell, MS, CMD, RT(R)(T)

Medical Dosimetry Program at the University of Wisconsin-La Crosse, WI

Abstract

Abdominal soft tissue sarcoma (STS) is a rare malignancy that presents a major challenge in radiation therapy due to its proximity to radiosensitive organs, particularly the small bowel. Radiation-induced bowel toxicity remains a significant limitation in achieving optimal target coverage and can lead to severe clinical complications. Previous studies have shown that flattening filter-free (FFF) beams can reduce peripheral dose and treatment time in other disease sites; however, their dosimetric advantage in abdominal STS has not been established.

The aim of this study was to determine whether 6 MV FFF photon beams improve small bowel sparing compared to conventional 6 MV flattened beams in patients with abdominal STS. A retrospective dosimetric comparison was performed using volumetric-modulated arc therapy (VMAT) plans for 10 adult patients previously treated with 6 MV flattened beams. For each case, a corresponding 6 MV FFF plan was generated using identical contours, geometry, and a prescription dose of 50.4 Gy in 28 fractions. Both plans were normalized such that 95% of the planning target volume (PTV) received 100% of the prescription dose. Small bowel dosimetry was measured using standard dose - volume histogram metrics to support hypotheses testing. Results showed that overall bowel dose differences between 6 MV FFF and flattened beam plans were minimal and not statistically significant across most parameters. However, flattened beam plans demonstrated significantly lower small bowel maximum dose compared to FFF plans. These findings suggest that FFF beams do not provide a dosimetric advantage for small bowel sparing in abdominal STS and that conventional flattened beams may remain preferable for this treatment site.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge Dr. Melissa Bingham for assistance with the statistical analyses conducted for this research study.

References

1. Grimer R, Judson I, Peake D, Seddon B. Guidelines for the management of soft tissue sarcomas. *Sarcoma*. 2010;2010:506182. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/506182>
2. Yazbeck V, Alesi E, Myers J, Hackney MH, Cuttino L, Gewirtz DA. An overview of chemotoxicity and radiation toxicity in cancer therapy. *Adv Cancer Res*. 2022;155:1-27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acr.2022.03.007>
3. Wang K, Tepper JE. Radiation therapy-associated toxicity: Etiology, management, and prevention. *Cancer J Clin*. 2021;71(5):437-454. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21689>
4. Ren H, Wu Q, Sun Z, Fang M, Liu J, Luo J. Research progress and treatment of radiation enteritis and gut microbiota. *Radiat Oncol J*. 2023;41(2):61-68. <https://doi.org/10.3857/roj.2023.00346>
5. Chua GWY, Vig PS. Overview of radiotherapy-induced chronic pain in childhood cancer survivors: A narrative review. *Paediatr Neonatal Pain*. 2023;5(1):1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pne2.12094>
6. Yan Y, Yadav P, Bassetti M, et al. Dosimetric differences in flattened and flattening filter-free beam treatment plans. *J Med Phys*. 2016;41(2):92-99. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-6203.181636>
7. Ahmed M, Ahmed R. Radiation in gastroenterology. *Gastroenterology Res*. 2022;15(6):285-296. <https://doi.org/10.14740/gr1567>
8. Moraitis I, Guiu J, Rubert J. Gut microbiota controlling radiation-induced enteritis and intestinal regeneration. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 2023;34(8):489-501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2023.05.006>
9. Zhang R, Xie Y, DiTusa C, et al. Flattening Filter-Free Volumetric-Modulated ArcRadiotherapy for Left-Sided Whole-Breast, Partial-Breast, and Postmastectomy Irradiations. *J Med Phys*. 2022;47(2):166-172. https://doi.org/10.4103/jmp.jmp_146_21
10. Kavanagh BD, Pan CC, Dawson LA, et al. Radiation Dose–Volume Effects in the Stomach and Small Bowel. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2010;76(3):S101-S107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.05.071>
11. Paliwal B, Yan Y, Yadav P, et al. Dosimetric differences in flattened and flattening filter-free beam treatment plans. *J Med Phys*. 2016;41(2):92. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-6203.181636>

12. Kroon PS, Hol S, Essers M. Dosimetric accuracy and clinical quality of Acuros XB and AAA dose calculation algorithm for stereotactic and conventional lung volumetric modulated arc therapy plans. *Radiat Oncol*. 2013;8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-717x-8-149>
13. Malato G. An Introduction to the Shapiro-Wilk Test for Normality | Built In. builtin.com. Published January 23, 2025. <https://builtin.com/data-science/shapiro-wilk-test>
14. Wadhwa RR, Marappa-Ganeshan R. T test. PubMed. Published 2023. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK553048/>

